## Minutes of the Pre-bid Meeting held on 26-10-2020

Ref.: Tender Enquiry No. CPU/52/0920/9955

Dated: 05.10.2020

The Competent authority constituted a Pre-bid committee of the following members for Procurement of Load Balancer by IMD vides noting on P-20/n of the file No.NE-01604/RTH/LOAD BALANCER.

Dr.A.K.Mitra, Sc-E, SATMET,IMD
 Dr. Kuldeep Srivastava, Sc-E,ISSD,IMD
 Chairman Member

3. Shri Sunny Chug, Sc-C, ISSD, IMD Member Secretary

A Pre-bid meeting was held in ISSD Conference Hall, 2<sup>nd</sup>floor, Mausam Bhavan at 11:00 Hrson 26-10-2020. The representatives from the following firms attended the meeting:

1. M/s Array Networks Pvt. Ltd

2. M/s Halt Dos Pvt. Ltd

3. M/s Emerson Pvt Ltd (via Video Conferencing)

M/s Parity Info solution Pvt. Ltd. submitted its queries through email.

On Serial Number 2, Network interface Ports: Chairman of Committee suggested taking consent of user unit to modify this clause. The email sent to user unit on 09/11/2020 for modification of clause. Head (ISSD) suggested that we can keep total 10 ports with minimum 2X10GbE SFP+ Ports and remaining 1GbE Copper Port.

The queries raised by the firms and IMD's response to these queries are as follows:

SI No	Tende	er Document		Request for	IMD's Response
	Page No	Clause No.	Existing clause Description	Change/Query	
1. N	/l/s Arra	ay Networks Pvt. Ltd	d.		
1	25	Specifications of Load Balancer_Point 3.7	OEM must be present in latest Gartner Report for Application Delivery Controller (ADC).	The Gartner report for ADC had been discontinued from 2016 and not relevant in 2020, There is other report for ADC like IDC, it is suggested to amend the clause to allow other major IDC OEM " The proposed solution should be present in leader's quadrant of IDC	Agreed. Clause 3.7 on Page 25 can be read as "The proposed OEM should be present in leader's quadrant of IDC/Gartner Report"

1 Kildelp Srivastava 23/11/2020

				/ Latest Gartner	
				Market Guide "	
2	25	Specifications	Network Interface	The number of ports	We need more
		of Load	ports:	asked are very	ports for high
		Balancer Point	2x10 GbE SFP+ &	high and favouring	availability and
		3.1	8*1G	to a specific	future
			Copper	OEM, The load	scalability. We
			- PP	balancer will be	have asked for
				connected in DMZ	virtualization
				or MZ zone only	also.
				so number of ports	diso.
				requirement	Clause 3.1 on
				will not be 8*1G	Page 25 can be
				ports considering	read as "
				the IMD web	Network
				servers mentioned	
				in	Interface ports :Minimum
				the RFP, it is	2x10 GbE
				suggested to amend	SFP+ and
				the clause as Traffic	remaining 1G
				ports supported: 4 x	Copper ports
			er toes flows of T. success	10 GbE SFP+ and	with a total of
				4x1G (Bidder to	10 Ports.
				populate	10 1 01 ts.
			20.7.1530007.5336	appropriate SFP's as	
				per the	
				proposed solution)	
3	26	Specifications	The proposed	It has been asked in	Clause 3.12 on
		of Load	appliance	specifications	Page 26 can be
		Balancer_Point	should have	of IMD Load	read as " The
	ale in	3.12	capability to	Balancer clause that	proposed
			run in Virtualized as	The proposed	appliance
			well as	device should have	should have
			Standalone mode	at least 5 virtual	capability to
			.The	instance from day-	run in
			proposed appliance	1. For any	Virtualized as
			should	virtualization/Hyper	well as
			have Virtualization	visor based	Standalone
			feature	architecture the	mode .The
			that virtualizes the	required RAM &	proposed
			Device	HDD is also very	appliance
			resources—including CPU,	critical to host	should
			memory, network,	multiple VM & logs	have
			management,	for each VM,	Virtualization
			configuration,	so it is suggested to	feature
			operating system and	specify the RAM & HDD	that virtualizes
			acceleration	capacity as well so	the Device
			resources.	amend the clause	resources—
			The proposed device	41 4 11 701	including
			The proposed device	that "The	CPU,

2 Kuldeek Snivatare



0					
			should have 5 Virtual Instances from Day 1 and scalable up to 20 Virtual Instances.	proposed appliance should be multi-tenanted appliance and have capability to install and run third-party and open source independent virtual instance of Network functions on the same appliance with dedicated Hardware resources including CPU, memory, network, operating system and acceleration resources with 64GB RAM with 2 TB HDD.	memory, network, management, configuration, operating system and acceleration resources with 16GB or higher RAM and 500GB or higher HDD. The proposed device should have 5 Virtual Instances from Day 1 and scalable up to 10 or higher Virtual Instances."
4	26	Specifications of Load Balancer_Point 3.12	The proposed device should have 5 Virtual Instances from Day 1 and scalable up to 20 Virtual Instances.	The number of virtual instances are very high considering the throughput requirement of 5Gbps (and scalable up to 20Gbps with license upgrade). It is suggested to amend the clause "The proposed device should have 5 Virtual Instances from Day 1 and scalable up to 8 Virtual Instances."	Clause 3.12 on Page 26 can be read as "The proposed device should have 5 Virtual Instances from Day 1 and scalable up to 10 or higher Virtual Instances."
5	27	Specifications of Load Balancer_Point 3.22(a)	WAF should have Device Fingerprint technology by involving various tools and methodologies to gather IP agnostic information	This clause is specific to an OEM, it is suggested to amend the clause as WAF should support Device Fingerprint technology or	As per RFP.  Fingerprint technology is available with other leader vendors.
3			3	Kulderk	Srivantava 23/11/2020

			-1		
6	27	Specifications of Load Balancer_Point 3.22(b)	Fingerprint information should include the Client Operating System, browser, fonts, screen resolution, and plugins etc.	equivalent by involving various tools/ methodologies to gather IP agnostic information about the source and protect Brower based attacks This clause is specific to an OEM, the purpose of WAF is to protect the client based attacks not capturing Fonts and screen resolution it is suggested to amend the clause as Fingerprint information or equivalent should protect Brower based attacks	All the attacks not generate from browser based attacks; some attacks generate from tools as well, such as BOT attacks.  Clause 3.22(b) on Page 27 can be read as "Fingerprint information should include the Client Operating System, browser, Fonts(or equivalent),
					resolution(or
					equivalent),
D D A	/C 11=14=1				and plugins etc."
· IVI	/3 Haito	los.Com Pvt Ltd.			1 3
	Page No. 25	Specifications of Load Balancer 3.7	OEM must be present in latest Gartner Report for Application Delivery Controller (ADC).	exemption on restrictive condition for Indian Cyber Security OEMs (local manufacturer) as per para 8 of Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2019 for Cyber Security	As Per Government of India Rules.
				Products by Govt. of India MEITY File No.	
				Andle	

4 Idady Snight ward

Ale Collison

1					
				1(10)/2017-CLES dated 6th Dec, 2019. (copy attached) Common example of restrictive and discriminatory conditions against the local suppliers as per Annexure-A of Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2017, F. No. P- 45021/121/2018- (B.EII) dated 20th June, 2019 (copy attached)	
8	Page No. 26	Specifications of Load Balancer 3.10	The proposed appliance should have ICSA certified WAF functionality covering all the OWASP Top 10 attack categories	Requesting to refer Para No. 8 on page no. 4 of Govt. of India MEITY File No. 1(10)/2017-CLES dated 6th Dec, 2019 on Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2019 for Cyber Security Products which clearly notifies in any procurement process, the procuring entity shall not specify any mandatory certifications issued by any foreign testing/ security lab(s)/ which restricts eligibility of Indian cyber security products. However you can consider for Certification from STQC Govt. Of India to allow Make In India solutions to participate in this tender. https://meity.gov.in/	As Per Government of India Rules.





				writereaddata/files/ public_procurement- preference_to_make _in_india- order_2019_for_cyb er_security_products .pdf	
SE N - Ge Co ons Co: t (GG Pri	o. 11/6 CCTIO – II neral nditi s Of ntrac CC)/ ce efere	ovt Ltd.	Price preference shall be given to Micro and Small Industries registered for stores and services specified in this tender document with National Small Industries Corporation or any other government agencies as per the latest guidelines/orders from Government of India. Purchase preference and quantity etc shall be decided as per the Government of India orders. The Bidders shall have to attach valid registration certificate as OEM.	Requesting clarity on purchase preference to Indian OEMs as per Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order 2019 for Cyber Security Products by Govt. of India MEITY File No. 1(10)/2017-CLES dated 6th Dec, 2019. https://meity.gov.in/writereaddata/files/public_procurement-preference_to_make _in_india-order_2019_for_cyb er_security_products .pdf	As per RFP
0 25		ecifications of Balancer , Point	Network Interface Port 2*10G SFP+, 8*1G Copper	As you have asked the throughput to be scalable to 20Gbps, there should be provison of the	Reply same as Point 2

-	6					
					same from network interface level, current interfaces only allow 8*1 +2*10=28Gbps, in total and for uplink and downlink it should be 20Gbps each. Hence need to increase the number of 10G interfaces to 2 on uplink and 2 on downlink for redunancy as well. Request to change the clause as:"Network Interface Port 4*10G SFP+, 8*1G	
	11	25	3. Specifications of Load Balancer, Point 3.3	Layer 4 connections per second 600,000	Since the requirement is of Web Application Firewall and Load Balancer, and as we understand that Web is mainly HTTP/HTTPS protocol traffic, but nowhere HTTP connection sizing is mentioned. Request to change the clause to include it: " Layer 4 connections/L4 HTTP Request per second 600,000"	Clause 3.3 on Page 25 can be read as "Layer 4 connections/L 4 HTTP Request per second 600,000"
	12	25	3. Specifications of Load Balancer, Point 3.8	The proposed appliance should not be declared End-of-Support for next 10 Years by OEM	Since technologies change in a cycle of less than 5 years and 10 years would be a term where the applaince would not be coping up with the requirements then. Request to modify the clause as: "The proposed appliance should not be declared End-of-Support for next 8 Years by OEM"	As per RFP

7 Kuldelp Srivastava 23/11/2020

13	26	3. Specifications of	The proposed	Цово угот Ба	Δ
		Load Balancer,	appliance should have	Here you have asked for	As per RFP
		Point 3.12	capability to run in	Virtualization of	
			Virtualized as well as	resources like	
			Standalone mode	network for upto 20	
			.The proposed	instances and in the	
			appliance should have	point 3.1 we have	
			Virtualization feature	asked for 2*10G	
			that virtualizes the	and 8*1G interface,	
			Device resources—	which makes it total	
			including CPU,	of 10 interfaces.	
			memory, network,	Please help	
			management,	understand how we	
			configuration,	can virtualize 10	
			operating system and	interfaces across 20	
			acceleration	instances, it would	
			resources. The	rather mean sharing	
			proposed device	of resources? Hence	
			should have 5 Virtual	request to change	
			Instances from Day 1	the clause as:"The	
			and scalable up to 20	proposed appliance	
			Virtual Instances.	should have	
				capability to run in	
				Virtualized as well	
				as Standalone mode	
				.The proposed	
				appliance should	
				have Virtualization	
				feature that	
				virtualizes the	
				Device resources	
				like cpu, network,	
				storage, memory and operating	
				system on sharing	
				basis and creates	
				separate	
				configuration file.	
				The proposed	
				device should have	
				5 such Virtual	
		Control of the second		Instances from Day	
				1 and scalable up to	
				20 Virtual	
1.0	26	2.0.10	190	Instances."	
L4	26	3. Specifications of	The proposed solution	The High Availability	As per RFP
		Load Balancer, Point	should support	Protocol should be	
		3.13		compatible with the	
				other devices in	
			,	network. Request to	
				read the clause	
			protocol).	as:"The proposed	

8 May 25 July 80

				solution should support standard VRRP (RFC - 2338) or equivalent for High Availability to support network integration.	
15	27	3. Specifications of Load Balancer , Point 3.21	Auto Policy Optimization a. Zero Day Attack Blocking - Extended Mode b. Auto Discovery	Since these nomenclature used here like - Extended Mode, are from a particular OEM and favouring, suggestmaking them generic and allowing equivalent so that participation can be extended to industry leaders who are currently not complying. Request to modify the clause as: "Auto Policy Optimization a. Zero Day Attack Blocking b. Auto Discovery"	Clause 3.21 on Page 27 can be read as "Auto Policy Optimization a. Zero Day Attack Blocking b. Auto Discovery"
16	32	Point 10.7 of Terms and Conditions	10.7 The relevant experience of bidder will be considered for eligibility. The bidder should have executed at least two work orders of similar in nature within last three years in government organization/ PSU to qualify experience criterion (proof must be submitted along with the technical bid).	Kindly modify to "The bidder should have executed at least two work orders of similar in nature within last five years in government organization/ PSU/Enterprise/Cor porate to qualify experience criterion (proof must be submitted along with the technical bid)."	As Per RFP

John Strategie

Kuldsep Srivartara 23/11/2020

47	24	140=			
17	31	10 Terms and Conditions 10.6	The bidder should have experience in the field of installation, integration and maintenance of load balancer/ADC/WAF for a period of at least past three years. The execution certificate from the customer must be submitted along with the technical bid as a supporting document.	The bidder should have experience in the field of installation, integration and maintenance of load balancer/ADC/WA F/Firewall for a period of at least past three years. The execution certificate from the customer must be submitted along with the technical bid as a supporting document.	As per RFP
18		Additional Clause			The bidder has to give Demo of product specifications for 7 days in live environment inside IMD premises during technical evaluation of Procurement of Load balancer

(A K Mitra)

Chairman

(Kuldeep Srivastava)

Member de tro.

(Sunny Chug)